

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

### AAMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

#### Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A method for a space-time filtering of noise in radiography comprising:
  - a. for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on coefficients U(k,l) of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of a difference computed between I(x,y) and I(x+k, y+l), where I(x,y) is an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the first convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients Up(k,l) being thus obtained;
  - b. for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients U(k,l) of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between I(x,y) and I'(x+k, y+l), where I'(x,y) is an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients Up'(k,l) being thus obtained; and
  - c. a filtered value of I(x,y) is computed by the formula:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l) I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots (1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots (2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots (3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l)) \dots (4)$$

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

where  $F(x,y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$ ; and

wherein  $D$  is greater than 1;

wherein a value of  $\gamma$  is greater than 0 and less than 1.

2. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1 wherein:

$$U_p(k,l) = U(k,l) \times G(I(x+k,y+l) - I(x,y); \sigma(I(x,y))); \text{ and}$$

$$U'_p(k,l) = U(k,l) \times G(I(x+k,y+l) - I(x,y); \lambda \sigma(I(x,y)))$$

with  $G$  as a weighting function depending on a difference  $\epsilon$  between the value of the pixel to be filtered and its neighborhood and depending on a noise statistic  $\sigma$  for the value of the pixel to be filtered at a filter strength defined by  $\lambda$ .

3. (previously presented) The method according to claim 2 wherein  $G$  is a function of a difference  $\epsilon$  computed and of a known noise statistic  $\sigma$  for  $I(x,y)$ , the coefficient  $G$  being then written as a function  $G(\epsilon, \sigma)$ , where  $G$  is therefore a value in terms of  $\epsilon$  of a Gaussian curve centered on 0 and having a standard deviation  $\sigma$ .

4. (currently amended) The method according to claim 2 wherein  $G$  is a function of the computed difference  $\epsilon$  of the following type:

$$G(\epsilon) = -a \cdot \epsilon + 1, \text{ with } a > 0, [[\epsilon]]$$

$$U_p(k,l) = U(k,l) \times G(I(x+k,y+l) - I(x,y)), \text{ and}$$

$$U'_p(k,l) = U(k,l) \times G(I(x+k,y+l) - I(x,y)).$$

5. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.
6. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.
7. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

8. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) \cdot I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l) \cdot F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x, y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the second image.

9. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) \cdot I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l) \cdot F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x, y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the second image.

10. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) \cdot I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l) \cdot F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x, y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the second image.

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

11. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k, l) \cdot I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * U_p'(k, l) \cdot F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x, y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the second image.

12. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k, l) \cdot I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * U_p'(k, l) \cdot F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x, y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the second image.

13. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

14. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

15. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

16. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

17. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

18. (original) The method according to claim 8 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

19. (previously presented) The method according to claim 1 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

20. (previously presented) The method according to claim 2 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

21. (previously presented) The method according to claim 3 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

22. (previously presented) The method according to claim 4 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

23. (previously presented) The method according to claim 5 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

24. (previously presented) The method according to claim 8 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

25. (previously presented) The method according to claim 13 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a time  $t$ , and the second image having a time  $t-1$ .

26. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

27. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

28. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

29. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

30. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

31. (original) The method according to claim 8 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

32. (original) The method according to claim 13 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

33. (original) The method according to claim 19 wherein  $D$  is equal to 5.

34. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein  $D$  is greater than 5.

35. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein  $D$  is greater than 5.

36. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein  $D$  is greater than 5.

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

- 37. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 38. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 39. (previously presented) The method according to claim 8 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 40. (previously presented) The method according to claim 13 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 41. (original) The method according to claim 19 wherein D is greater than 5.
- 42. (cancelled)
- 43. (original) The method according to claim 1 wherein D is an odd number.
- 44. (original) The method according to claim 2 wherein D is an odd number.
- 45. (original) The method according to claim 3 wherein D is an odd number.
- 46. (original) The method according to claim 4 wherein D is an odd number.
- 47. (original) The method according to claim 5 wherein D is an odd number.
- 48. (original) The method according to claim 8 wherein D is an odd number.
- 49. (original) The method according to claim 13 wherein D is an odd number.

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

- 50. (original) The method according to claim 19 wherein D is an odd number.
- 51. (original) The method according to claim 26 wherein D is an odd number.
- 52. (original) The method according to claim 34 wherein D is an odd number.
- 53. (original) A space-time convolution filter designed according to the method of claim 1.
- 54. (original) A scanner for radiography having a filter according to claim 53.
- 55. (cancelled)



Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

56. (currently amended) A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having computer readable program code means stored in the medium, the computer program product comprising:

a. computer readable program code means stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of a difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I(x,y)$  is an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the first convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients  $U_p(k,l)$  being thus obtained;

b. computer readable program code means stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I'(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I'(x,y)$  is an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients  $U_p'(k,l)$  being thus obtained; and

c. computer readable program code means stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide a filtered value of  $I(x,y)$  is computed by the formula:

$$F(x,y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k,l) I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * U_p'(k,l) I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots (1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots (2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots (3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k,l) + (1-\gamma) * U_p'(k,l)) \dots (4)$$

where  $F(x,y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$ ; and

wherein D is greater than 1;

wherein a value of  $\gamma$  is greater than 0 and less than 1.

Appl. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

57. (currently amended) An article of manufacture for use with a computer system, the article of manufacture comprising a computer readable medium having computer readable program code means stored in the medium, the program code means comprising:

a. computer readable program code means stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of a difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I(x,y)$  is an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the first convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients  $U_p(k,l)$  being thus obtained;

b. computer readable program code means stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I'(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I'(x,y)$  is an intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients  $U_p'(k,l)$  being thus obtained; and

c. computer readable program code means stored in the medium for causing a computer to provide a filtered value of  $I(x,y)$  is computed by the formula:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k, l) * I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * U_p'(k, l) * I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots (1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots (2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots (3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k, l) + (1-\gamma) * U_p'(k, l)) \dots (4)$$

Appln. No. 10/677,966  
Docket No. 14XZ126398/GEM-0171

where  $F(x,y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$ ; and

wherein  $D$  is greater than 1;

wherein a value of  $\gamma$  is greater than 0 and less than 1.

58-60. (canceled)